IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 29439 of 1995

Between:

Motlakunta Narayana S/o Rangaiah, Hindu
R/o.H.No.4-5-69 Sadasivpet,
Medak dist.,

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Union of India Ministry of Home Affairs,
Central Secretariat New Delhi
rep. by its Secretary
2 The District Forum Constituted under The Consumer Protection Act,1986
Medak Dist., Sangareddy 502 001
3 Kum.D.Annapurna,(Minor), rep. by her Guardian Sri D.Narasimhulu
R/o Sadasivapet, Sardar Road Medak Dist.,

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or direction, more in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the section 27 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 as unjust, illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional, being violative of Arts.14,19,21, and 300-A of the constitution of India, and consequently declaring the order passed by the 2nd respondent in E.P.NO.9 of 1995 in C.D.NO.111 of 1993 dt:30-10-1995 and 6-11-1995 respectively as wholly without jurisdiction, null and viod and nonest in the eye of Law, and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.D.RAMESH

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.RAMAKRISHNA RAO SIDDANATHI (SC FOR CG)

Counsel for Respondent No.2: None

Counsel for Respondent No.3: Sri L.Prabhakar Reddy

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

As there was no representation for the petitioner on 1-9-2004, the matter was directed to be listed today. Today also, none appeared for the petitioner. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

_____

2-9-2004

asp

To

1 The Secretary to Government

Union of India, Ministry of Home Affairs,
Central Secretariat New Delhi

2 The District Forum Constituted under The Consumer Protection

Act,1986, Medak Dist., Sangareddy - 502 001